

TECHNICAL		Very Basic	Basic	Efficient	Very Efficient	Thorough	Score
1. Initial Team Approach and Team Action.							
1.1	Hazard Identification & Risk Control	- There was very little safety warnings. - Very little control or management of risks.	- Limited safety warnings. - Insufficient control and management of risks.	- Sufficient/adequate safety warnings. - Risks are controlled and neutralised within reasonable timeframes.	- Efficient risk identification. - Good risk control and neutralisation in expected timeframe.	- Complete risk identification. - Full & correct risk control and neutralisation quickly.	10
1.2	Safety and Scene Assessment	Poor risk Assessment or reporting given for physical, vehicle, dynamic and casualty hazards on Scene.	Limited risk assessment and reporting given for physical, vehicle, dynamic and casualty hazards on Scene.	Adequate risk assessment and reporting given for physical, vehicle, dynamic and casualty hazards on Scene.	Good and constant assessing of risks and reporting given for physical, vehicle, dynamic and casualty hazards on Scene.	Constant assessment and dealt with hazards to ensure a safe operating environment.	10
1.3	Initial Medical Access	- Technical personnel provide no initial access to casualty for medic. - Little /or no protection for medic and casualties.	- Technical personnel provide basic initial access to casualties for medic. - Basic protection for medic and casualties.	- Technical personnel provide safe initial access to casualties for medic, but delays. - Efficient protection for medic and casualties.	- Technical personnel provide prompt initial access to casualties for medic safely. - Very efficient protection for medic and casualties.	- Technical personnel provide rapid initial access to casualties for medic safely. - With great protection for medic and casualties.	10
2. Stabilisation.							
2.1	Initial Stabilisation	Very little stabilisation (either in early access or primary).	Insufficient or inappropriate stabilisation.	Minimal stabilisation but adequate or with delay.	Minimal stabilisation complete and adequate to provide medical assess.	Stabilisation complete and adequate, prioritizing casualty vehicle to provide medical assess.	10
2.2	Final Stabilisation	Stabilisation is not effective and allows for whole vehicle movement and no solid working platform and causes a deformation of the vehicle.	- Stabilisation is not suitable for the scenario and allows for some movement. - Basic working platform.	Some stabilisation points are adequate but vehicle still moves constantly and efficient working platform.	- Adequate stabilisation points. - Minor vehicle movements occur, secondary stabilisation not considered and very efficient working platform.	Complete stabilisation without vehicle movements, secondary stabilisation where necessary, solid platform to work on and no deformation of the vehicle.	10
2.3	Lifting & Re-Assessment	- Very little stabilisation with no re-assessment. - Uncontrolled lift without using safety cell → (Passenger Safety Structure). Control lifting without backup system	- Basic stabilisation, little reassessment and faults corrected. - Minimal lift controle with basic use of safety cell → (Passenger Safety Structure). Control lifting with minor backup system and with fails	- Efficient stabilisation, Effective re-assessment is performed. - Lifting is controlled with good use of the safety cell → (Passenger Safety Structure). Control lifting with backup system applied but some fails	- Very efficient stabilisation and reassessment . - Lifting is controlled with a very good use of the safety cell → (Passenger Safety Structure). Control lifting with some backup system applied without fails	- All techniques performed lead to thorough stabilisation and re-assessment at the right time. - Lifting is controlled with full use of the safety cell → (Passenger Safety Structure). Control lifting with a perfect backup system	10
3. Vehicle Preparation.							
3.1	Vehicle Safety and Hazards	Poorly visible effort to prepare the vehicle or identify risk critical issues.	Some effort to prepare the vehicle but risk to casualties & rescuer personnel.	Sufficient effort to prepare the vehicle but with some risk to casualty & rescuer and delays to the operations as risks revisited.	Sufficient effort to prepare the vehicle but with occasional delays to operations as risks revisited.	Crews identify and manage risk in a logical manner with no risk to casualties or rescuer.	10
3.2	Assessed Regularly	Poorly rechecking at relevant stages to the rescue process and no minimization of risks to both rescuers and Casualties, in any stages of operations.	Basic rechecking at relevant stages to the rescue process and is aware of the risks to both rescuers and Casualties, in any stages of operations.	Efficient rechecking at relevant stages to the rescue process and controlling some of the risks to both rescuers and Casualties in all stages of operations.	Very efficient rechecking at relevant stages to the rescue process and good control over the risks to both rescuers and Casualties in all stages of operations.	Thorough rechecking at relevant stages to the rescue process and total control of the risks to both rescuers and Casualties in all stages of operations.	10
3.3	Full Protection Given	Poor glass management; no regard given to safety , no basic Casualties protection demonstrated.	Basic glass managed; little regard given to safety . Basic Casualties protection demonstrated.	Glass correctly managed with some regard given to safety . Efficient Casualties protection demonstrated.	Glass correctly managed with more regard given to safety . Efficient Casualties protection demonstrated.	Glass thoroughly managed with full regard given to safety . Thorough Casualties protection demonstrated.	10
4. Tool Management.							
4.1	Rescue Tools handling & Techniques & Workspace managed correctly	- Incorrect use of equipment demonstrated. Poor techniques or vehicle knowledge. - No workspace management carried out. Constant inappropriate and unsafe tool handling.	- Basic use of equipment demonstrated. - Basic techniques or vehicle knowledge. - Basic workspace management carried out. - Tool handling generally poor with only occasional examples of good practice demonstrated.	- Sufficient use of equipment knowledge demonstrated, techniques or vehicle knowledge. - Efficient workspace management carried out. - Tool handling on occasion inappropriate and with risks.	- Correct use of equipment demonstrated, techniques or vehicle knowledge. - Efficient workspace management carried out. - Adequate tool handling with minor risks.	- Thorough use of equipment demonstrated, techniques or vehicle knowledge. - Thorough workspace management carried out. - Safe and excellent tool handling at all time.	15
4.2	Warnings given and acknowledged	Little to none warnings given throughout the scenario and little effort to communicate with the Medic.	Few warnings given and acknowledgement received throughout the scenario, with some effort to communicate with the Medic	- Team gives warnings for more actions and sometimes wait for feedback. - Efficient communication with the Medic with some delays.	- Major warnings were given and acknowledged throughout the scenario and waited for feedback at all times. - Very efficient communication with medic with minor delays.	- At all times warnings were given and acknowledged throughout the scenario. - Waited for feedback at all times with thorough communication with medic.	10
4.3	Hazards considered, revealed, identified acted upon	- Tools used in unsafe manner. - Hazards not considered, revealed, identified or acted upon during scenario .i.e. SRS/struts etc.	- Tools used in basic manner. - Hazards considered, revealed, identified or acted upon during scenario .i.e. SRS/struts etc.	- Efficient tools used in an consistently safe manner. - Hazards resourcefully considered, revealed, identified or acted upon during scenario .i.e. SRS/struts etc.	- Tools used in a demonstrably safe manner. - Hazards considered, revealed, identified or acted upon during scenario .i.e. SRS/struts etc.	- Tools used in a demonstrably safe manner. - All Hazards considered, revealed, identified, or acted upon during the scenario . i.e. SRS/struts, etc.	10

TECHNICAL		Very Basic	Basic	Efficient	Very Efficient	Thorough	Score
5. Operations.							
5.1	Organised Work Area	Work area unsafe and disorganised.	Work area identified with some minor risks and disorganised.	Work area is safe but poorly organised.	Work area is safe and organised but could be improved.	Work area is safe and well organised at all times.	10
5.2	Technical Performance & Knowledge	Unfamiliar with correct extrication techniques.	Shows knowledge regarding techniques but several mistakes are made.	Demonstrates knowledge of techniques but with delays in getting to work.	- Demonstrate good knowledge regarding techniques. - Techniques are performed correctly but doesn't react to problems.	- Demonstrate knowledge regarding techniques. - Techniques are performed correctly and reacts effectively to problems.	10
5.3	Effective Extrication Techniques & Progression	- Little use of the technique to contribute to the extrication plan. - Little effective progression in extrication plan.	Basic technique was adopted and contributed to a logical extrication plan, with some progression.	Effective technique was adopted and contributed to a logical extrication plan, with efficient progression.	Very efficient technique was adopted, adequate actions that lead to very sufficient progression of operation.	Technique is the most appropriate and was executed to a high standard to achieve a logical extrication plan.	30
5.4	Team Work and Communication	Team works individually with poor interest in communication and little to none communication with the IC.	Basic communication between team members and minimal communication with the IC.	Team communicates efficiently without simultaneous activities and does not acknowledge important details on communication with IC.	Team communicates very efficiently at all times and acknowledges some minor details with communication with IC.	- Team communicates and develops simultaneous activities at all time. - Acknowledges all communication with IC at all times.	10
5.5	Personal Protective Equipment	Poor use of PPE.	PPE used but repeated violations on safe use.	Good use of PPE but with warnings (3max).	Full and correct use of PPE with one minor lapse.	Full and correct use of PPE throughout.	10
5.6	Protection of Worked Areas	Poor protection.	Insufficient protection with evident risks.	Protection in place with some inadequacies.	Perform adequate protection during operations but with delays.	Excellent protection at all times and without delays.	10
6. Space Creation & Extrication.							
6.1	Vehicle shutdown.	- Poor internal space create but still not sufficient for medic duties. - No shutting vehicle down of electrical systems in vehicle /modern vehicle.	- Basic internal spacecreate but still not sufficient for medic duties. - Basic shutting vehicle down of electrical systems in vehicle / modern vehicle.	- Efficient internal space create but still not sufficient for medic duties. - Efficient attempt shutting vehicle down of electrical systems in vehicle / modern vehicle.	- Very efficient internal space generated sufficient for medic duties, and sufficient for the recommended extrication route. - Electrical systems are under control.	- Excellent, timely and sufficient internal space created for medical duties and extrication route. - Electrical systems total safe in vehicle.	10
6.2	Final Extrication Space - A/T Menu 3	Poor final space created for the safe extrication of Casualties.	Basic final space created for the safe extrication of Casualties.	Final space is Efficient but Casualties requires manoeuvring out.	Final space very efficient created for the safe extrication of Casualties.	Maximum space created. Full access achieved with no manual handling issues.	10
6.3	Time Management	Most technical operations were made with delay, reflecting the scenario difficulty, with no time for the extraction of any casualty.	The technical operations were very delayed, reflecting the scenario difficulty, with no time for the extraction of both casualties.	The technical operations were made with some delay, reflecting the scenario difficulty, with no time for the extraction of both casualties.	The technical operations were made with some delay, reflecting the scenario difficulty, despite having executed the extraction of both casualties.	All the technical operations were made at the appropriate time, reflecting the scenario difficulty, with no delay for extraction of both casualties	15
7. Casualty packaging & pathway							
7.2	Protection During Operations	Poor protection of Casualties provided during operation with high risks.	Basic protection of Casualties provided during operation, with evident risks.	Good protection of Casualties provided during operation, with little risks.	Effective protection of Casualties provided during operation, with few risks.	Thorough protection of Casualties provided during operation, with controlled risks.	10